Summary of the 2008 through 2012 *Portfolio Analysis* data as aligned to the *IACC Strategic Plan*

Please note that the 2011 and 2012 data are still draft data and have not yet been finalized – they are still subject to change.

Please read the following notes prior to reviewing the table:

- Objective labels are representative of the 2011 Strategic Plan. Thus, projects from 2008 and 2009 may have been labeled with a different objective number compared to the label seen in this chart. However, the funding data is accurately matched to its associated objective on the left even if the objective number was altered in previous years.
- Blue font indicates revisions in the text of the objective, including additions and substitutions in text that occurred from the 2008 Strategic Plan to the 2009 Strategic Plan. The red font indicates revisions, including additions and substitutions in text that occurred from the 2009 Strategic Plan to the 2010 Strategic Plan.
- If a year is not present prior to the "IACC Recommended Budget" text, this indicates that the budget has remained constant from 2008 to 2011. Thus if a year is present prior to the "IACC Recommended Budget" text, this indicates that the budget was different for the indicated year; however, the "IACC Recommended Budget" text present above represents the most updated budget cost for 2011.
- Current project and funding status for each question or objective is indicated within the table by
 colored highlighting of the objective. Any objective highlighted green has greater than or equal to
 the recommended funding; any objective highlighted yellow has some degree of funding or active
 projects, but less than the recommended amount; while any objective highlighted red has no
 funding or active projects.
- Please note that while the green, yellow and red indicators suggest a funding status for each year and that looking across all years may give some indication of a trend, that some agencies and organizations provide all the funding for multiyear grants in a single year, resulting in the appearance of "less funding" in other years, but that projects fulfilling the objectives may still have been ongoing in the years where the funding appears to be less. Thus, it is important to note the numbers of projects in looking across the chart, and to keep in mind that in a series, where, for example, most of the indicators are green, that the objective is likely to be largely "complete" according to the funding-based measure. This, however, does not provide information on whether or not the objectives were completed in terms of intended project results and outcomes. Please also note that in some cases, projects may have been funded, but were accomplished with smaller budgets than anticipated, so a "yellow" designation may not necessarily be indicative of ongoing need for more effort.

QUESTION 1: WHEN SHOULD I BE CONCERNED?						
IACC Strategic Plan Objectives		Funding				
	<mark>ق</mark> 2008	2009	2010	2011	2012*	Total*
Develop, with existing tools, at least one efficient diagnostic instrument (i.e., briefer, less time intensive) that is valid in diverse populations for use in large-scale studies by 2011.	1.1 \$75,000 2 projects	1.S.A \$4,728,120 15 projects	1.S.A \$4,963,192 15 projects	1.S.A \$2,387,955 8 projects	1.S.A \$2,214,544 8 projects	\$14,368,811
		IACC Recommended Budget: \$5,300,000 over 2 years				
Validate and improve the sensitivity and specificity of new or existing screening and diagnostic tools, including comparative studies of general developmental screening versus autism-specific screening tools, in both high-risk and population-based samples, including those from resource-poor international settings and those that are diverse in terms of age, socio-economic status, race, ethnicity, gender, characteristics of ASD, and general level of functioning by 2012.	1.2 \$1,246,922 8 projects	1.S.B \$3,973,711 11 projects	1.S.B \$2,443,557 11 projects	1.S.B \$970,284 9 projects	1.S.B \$2,126,824 12 projects	\$10,761,298
Conduct at the state of a first at the state of a state of the best the	IACC Recommended Budget: \$5,400,000 over 3 years					
Conduct at least three studies to identify reasons for the health disparities in accessing early screening and diagnosis services, including identification of barriers to implementation of and access to screening, diagnosis, referral, and early intervention services among diverse populations, as defined by socioeconomic status, race, ethnicity, and gender of the child, by 2012.	N/A	1.S.C \$139,072 1 project	1.S.C \$0 0 projects	1.S.C \$28,000 1 project	1.S.C \$629,521 3 projects	\$796,593
	IACC Recommended Budget: \$2,000,000 over 2 years					
Conduct at least two studies to understand the impact of early diagnosis on choice of intervention and outcomes by 2015.	N/A	\$0 0 projects	1.S.D \$0 0 projects	\$0 0 projects	\$0 0 projects	\$0
Conduct at least one study to determine the positive predictive value	IACC Recommended Budget: 6,000,000 over 5 years ne the positive predictive value					
and clinical utility (e.g., prediction of co-occurring conditions, family planning) of chromosomal microarray genetic testing for detecting genetic diagnoses for ASD in a clinical setting by 2012.	N/A	N/A	1.S.E \$2,180,042 3 projects	1.S.E \$690,019 1 project	1.S.E \$1,273,122 4 projects	\$4,143,183
		IACC Recommended Budget: \$9,600,000 over 5 years				
Convene a workshop to examine the ethical, legal, and social implications of ASD research by 2011. The workshop should define possible approaches for conducting future studies of ethical, legal, and social implications of ASD research, taking into consideration how these types of issues have been approached in related medical conditions.	N/A	N/A	1.S.F \$0 0 projects	1.S.F* \$0* 0 projects*	N/A Due to prior completion	\$0
		IACC Recommended Budget: \$35,000 over 1 year *This objective was completed in 2011 (2 workshops) through funding mechanisms not tracked in the Portfolio Analysis.				
Identify behavioral and biological markers that separately, or in combination, accurately identify, before age 2, one or more subtypes of children at risk for developing ASD, and evaluate whether these risk markers or profiles can improve early identification through heightened developmental monitoring and screening by 2014.	1.3 \$2,885,940 14 projects	1.L.A \$16,456,034 43 projects	1.L.A \$13,270,045 45 projects	1.L.A \$12,416,466 43 projects	1.L.A \$12,740,004 39 projects	\$57,768,489
		IACC Recommended Budget: \$33,300,000 over 5 years				
Develop at least five measures of behavioral and/or biological heterogeneity in children or adults with ASD, beyond variation in intellectual disability, that clearly relate to etiology and risk, treatment response and/or outcome by 2015.	1.4 \$5,773,203 18 projects	1.L.B \$8,760,010 34 projects	1.L.B \$15,228,060 52 projects	1.L.B \$9,376,400 42 projects	1.L.B \$12,147,941 38 projects	\$51,285,614
		IACC Recommended Budget: \$71,100,000 over 5 years				
Identify and develop measures to assess at least three "continuous dimensions" (i.e., social reciprocity, communication disorders, and repetitive/restrictive behaviors) of ASD symptoms and severity that can be used by practitioners and/or families to assess response to intervention for people with ASD across the lifespan by 2016.	1.5 \$912,159 2 projects	1.L.C \$861,069 6 projects	1.L.C \$3,893,622 22 projects	1.L.C \$2,353,440 15 projects	1.L.C \$2,600,028 15 projects	\$10,620,318
Not specific to any objective	1 Othor	IACC Recommended Budget: 18,500,000 over 5 years				
Not specific to any objective	1.0ther \$18,229,985 63 projects	1.0ther \$9,766,926 37 projects	1.0ther \$3,643,562 18 projects	1.0ther \$2,310,877 16 projects	1.0ther \$2,175,749 13 projects	\$36,127,099