Summary of the 2008 through 2012 *Portfolio Analysis* Question 6 data as aligned to the *IACC Strategic Plan*

Please note that the 2011 and 2012 data are still draft data and subject to change.

Please read the following notes prior to reviewing the table:

- Current project and funding status for each question or objective is indicated within the table by colored highlighting of the objective. Any objective highlighted green has greater than or equal to the recommended funding; any objective highlighted yellow has some degree of funding or active projects, but less than the recommended amount; while any objective highlighted red has no funding or active projects.
- Highlighting of each total gives an indication of the progress toward meeting the IACC recommended budget for each objective. Green highlighting indicates that funding fully meets the recommend budget or that the objective has been otherwise completed. Yellow highlighting denotes that funding for a particular objective partially meets the IACC recommended budget, while red highlighting indicates that there has been no funding towards the particular objective.
- Please note that while the green, yellow and red indicators suggest a funding status for each year and that looking across all years may give some indication of a trend, that some agencies and organizations provide all the funding for multiyear grants in a single year, resulting in the appearance of "less funding" in other years, but that projects fulfilling the objectives may still have been ongoing in the years where the funding appears to be less. Thus, it is important to note the numbers of projects in looking across the chart, and to keep in mind that in a series, where, for example, most of the indicators are green, that the objective is likely to be largely "complete" according to the funding-based measure. This, however, does not provide information on whether or not the objectives were completed in terms of intended project results and outcomes. Please also note that in some cases, projects may have been funded, but were accomplished with smaller budgets than anticipated, so a "yellow" designation may not necessarily be indicative of ongoing need for more effort.

QUESTION 6: WHAT DOES THE FUTURE HOLD, PARTICULARLY FOR ADULTS?										
IACC Strategic Plan Objectives			Funding							
	Year	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total			
Launch at least two studies to assess and characterize variation in the quality of life for adults on the ASD spectrum as it relates to characteristics of the service delivery system (e.g., safety, integrated employment, post-secondary educational opportunities, community inclusion, self-determination, relationships, and access to health services and community-based services) and determine best practices by 2012.		6.2 \$0 rojects	6.S.A \$20,000 1 project	6.S.A \$283,837 2 projects	6.S.A \$542,193 6 projects	6.S.A \$1,013,156 10 projects	\$1,859,186			
		IACC Recommended Budget: \$5,000,000 over 3 years								
Evaluate at least one model, at the State and local level, in which existing programs to assist people with disabilities (e.g., Social Security Administration, Rehabilitation Services Administration) meet the needs of transitioning youth and adults with ASD by 2013.	١	N/A	6.S.B \$0 0 projects	6.S.B \$700,000 2 projects	6.S.B \$700,000 2 projects	6.S.B \$700,000 2 projects	\$2,100,000			
Develop one method to identify adults across the ASD spectrum who		17	ACC Recommende							
may not be diagnosed, or are misdiagnosed, to support service linkage, better understand prevalence, and track outcomes with consideration of ethical issues (insurance, employment, stigma) by 2015.	١	N/A	6.S.C \$0 0 projects	6.S.C \$28,000 1 project	6.S.C \$28,000 1 project	6.S.C \$0 1 project	\$56,000			
Conduct at least one study to measure and improve the quality of		IACC Recommended Budget: \$8,400,000 over 5 years								
lifelong supports being delivered in community settings to adults across the spectrum with ASD through provision of specialized training for direct care staff, parents, and legal guardians, including assessment and development of ASD-specific training, if necessary, by 2015.	ľ	N/A	6.S.D \$0 0 projects	6.S.D \$619,163 3 projects	6.S.D \$0 2 projects	6.S.D \$0 1 project	\$619,16 <mark>3</mark>			
Develop at least two individualized community-based interventions that improve quality-of-life or health outcomes for the spectrum of adults with ASD by 2015.		رر <mark>6.5</mark>	ACC Recommende 6.L.A	ed Budget: \$7,500 <mark>6.L.A</mark>),000 over 5 year <mark>6.L.A</mark>	s <mark>6.L.A</mark>				
	\$2,4	171,000 project	000 \$509,965 \$2,285,071 \$2,154,170		\$2,154,170 15 projects	\$616,119 11 projects	\$8,036,325			
Conduct one study that builds on carefully characterized cohorts of children and youth with ASD to determine how interventions, services, and supports delivered during childhood impact adult health and quality of life outcomes by 2015.	١	N/A	6.L.B \$718,290 2 projects	6.L.B \$1,280,790 3 projects	6.L.B \$1,348,557 4 projects	6.L.B \$639,346 2 projects	\$3,986,983			
Conduct comparative effectiveness research that includes a cost-		I.F	ACC Recommende	ea Buaget: \$5,000),000 over 5 year	S				
effectiveness component to examine community-based interventions, services, and supports to improve health outcomes and quality of life for adults on the ASD spectrum over age 21 by 2018. Topics should include: • Community housing for people with ASD; • Successful life transitions for people with ASD, including from post-secondary education to adult services, employment, sibling relationships, and day programs; and • Meeting the service and support needs of older adults with ASD.	١	N/A	6.L.C \$0 0 projects	6.L.C \$774,644 2 projects	6.L.C \$0 0 projects	6.L.C \$0 0 projects	\$774,644			
Conduct implementation research to test the results from comparative effectiveness research in real-world settings, including a costeffectiveness component to improve health outcomes and quality of ife for adults over 21 on the ASD spectrum by 2023.			6.L.D	6.L.D	6.L.D	6.L.D				
	ľ	N/A	\$0 0 projects	\$0 0 projects	\$75,000 2 projects	\$60,000 3 projects	\$135,000			
Not specific to any objective (Core Activites)	6.	Core (A	ACC Recommende 6.Core	ed Budget: \$4,000 <u>6.Core</u>	0,000 over 5 year <u>6.Core</u>	6.Core				
	<u>Act</u> \$46	ivities 67,683 rojects	Activities \$159,444 2 projects	Activities \$671,619 3 projects	Activities \$50,000 3 projects	Activities \$830,556 4 projects	\$2,179,302			
Total funding for Question 6	\$9,7	'96,491 rojects	\$1,407,699 7 projects	\$6,643,124 34 projects	\$4,897,920 35 projects	\$3,859,177 34 projects	\$19,746,603			