Updated for IACC Strategic Plan Update Question 7 Planning Group Conference Call November 8, 2013

Summary of the 2008 through 2012 *Portfolio Analysis* data as aligned to the *IACC Strategic Plan*

Please note that the 2011 and 2012 data are still draft data and have not yet been finalized – they are still subject to change.

Please read the following notes prior to reviewing the table:

- Objective labels are representative of the 2011 Strategic Plan. Thus, projects from 2008 and 2009 may
 have been labeled with a different objective number compared to the label seen in this chart. However, the
 funding data is accurately matched to its associated objective on the left even if the objective number was
 altered in previous years.
- For projects from 2008-2010 there is a direct link to the list of projects for each objective. To view the individual projects in 2011 and 2012, please refer to the project lists included in the meeting materials.
- Blue font indicates revisions in the text of the objective, including additions and substitutions in text that
 occurred from the 2008 Strategic Plan to the 2009 Strategic Plan. The red font indicates revisions,
 including additions and substitutions in text that occurred from the 2009 Strategic Plan to the 2010
 Strategic Plan.
- The IACC recommended budget represents the most updated budget cost for 2011. If the recommended budget has been revised since 2008 the year the revision took place is found in parentheses following the budget figure. Thus, if there is no mention of a revision, the IACC recommended budget has remained constant from 2008-2011.
- Current project and funding status for each question or objective is indicated within the table by colored highlighting of the objective. Any objective highlighted green has greater than or equal to the recommended funding; any objective highlighted yellow has some degree of funding or active projects, but less than the recommended amount; while any objective highlighted red has no funding or active projects.
- Highlighting of each total gives an indication of the progress toward meeting the IACC recommended budget for each objective. Green highlighting indicates that funding fully meets the recommend budget.
 Yellow highlighting denotes that funding for a particular objective partially meets the IACC recommended budget, while red highlighting indicates that there has been no funding towards the particular objective.
- Please note that while the green, yellow and red indicators suggest a funding status for each year and that looking across all years may give some indication of a trend, that some agencies and organizations provide all the funding for multiyear grants in a single year, resulting in the appearance of "less funding" in other years, but that projects fulfilling the objectives may still have been ongoing in the years where the funding appears to be less. Thus, it is important to note the numbers of projects in looking across the chart, and to keep in mind that in a series, where, for example, most of the indicators are green, that the objective is likely to be largely "complete" according to the funding-based measure. This, however, does not provide information on whether or not the objectives were completed in terms of intended project results and outcomes. Please also note that in some cases, projects may have been funded, but were accomplished with smaller budgets than anticipated, so a "yellow" designation may not necessarily be indicative of ongoing need for more effort.

QUESTION 7: WHAT OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE AND SURVEILLANCE NEEDS MUST BE MET?									
IACC Strategic Plan Objectives	Funding								
	ğ 2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total			
Conduct a needs assessment to determine how to merge or link administrative and/or surveillance databases that allow for tracking the involvement of people living with ASD in health care, education, and social services by 2009.	\$0 0 projects	7.A \$0 0 projects	7.A \$0 0 projects	7.A \$0 0 projects	7.A \$0 0 projects	\$0			
Conduct an annual "State of the States" assessment of existing State programs and supports for people and families living with ASD by 2011.	5.1 \$311,670 <u>6 projects</u>	IACC Recommend 7.B \$7,061 1 project ecommended Bud	7.B \$197,128 1 project	7.B \$88,154 1 project	<mark>7.B</mark> \$0 1 project	\$604,013			
Develop and have available to the research community means by which to merge or link databases that allow for tracking the involvement of people in ASD research by 2010.	6.1 \$6,767,808 4 projects	7.C \$1,665,180 2 projects ACC Recommende	7.C \$2,785,368 5 projects	7.C \$1,387,146 7 projects	7.C \$985,158 6 projects	\$13,590,660			
Establish and maintain an international network of biobanks for the collection of brain tissue, fibroblasts for pluripotent stem cells, and other tissue or biological material, by acquisition sites that use standardized protocols for phenotyping, collection, and regulated distribution of limited samples by 2011. • This includes support for post-processing of tissue, such as genotyping, RNA expression profiling, and MRI. • Protocols should be put into place to expand the capacities of ongoing large-scale children's studies to collect and store additional biomaterials, including newborn bloodspots, promoting detection of biological signatures. • Support should also be provided to develop an international web-based digital brain atlas that would provide high-resolution 3-D images and quantitative anatomical data from tissue of patients with ASD and disease controls across the lifespan, which could serve as an online resource for quantitative morphological studies, by 2014.	2.1 & 2.6 \$5,018,579 1 project & 1 project	7.D \$436,815 2 projects	7.D \$7,814,918 6 projects	<mark>7.D</mark> \$8,531,425 6 projects	<mark>7.D</mark> \$2,950,550 5 projects	\$24,752,28 7			
	IACC Reco	IACC Recommended Budget: \$82,700,000 over 5 years (revised in 2011)							
Begin development of a web-based toolbox to assist researchers in effectively and responsibly disseminating their findings to the community, including people with ASD, their families, and health practitioners by 2011.	N/A	\$330,662 2 projects JACC Recommend	7.E \$390,134 <u>1 project</u> led Budget: \$400,	7.E \$533,354 1 project ,000 over 2 years	<mark>7.E</mark> \$0 1 projects	\$1,254,150			
Create funding mechanisms that encourage rapid replication studies of novel or critical findings by 2011.	N/A	7.F \$0 0 projects	7.F \$0 0 projects led budget assign	7.F \$0 0 projects	7.F \$0 0 projects	\$0			
Develop a web-based tool that provides population estimates of ASD prevalence for States based on the most recent prevalence range and average identified by the ADDM Network by 2012.	N/A	7.G \$0 0 projects IACC Recommend	7.G \$0 0 projects	7.G \$0 0 projects	7.G \$0 0 projects	\$0			
Create mechanisms to specifically support the contribution of data from 90% of newly initiated projects to the National Database for Autism Research (NDAR), and link NDAR with other existing data resources by 2012.	N/A	<mark>7.H</mark> \$1,932,996 <u>2 projects</u> ACC Recommende	7.H \$2,453,253 3 projects	7.H \$1,517,596 1 project	7.H \$3,679,808 5 projects	\$9,583,653			

-	TRUCTL	RUCTURE AND SURVEILLANCE NEEDS MUST BE MET?						
IACC Strategic Plan Objectives		Funding						
	ੁੱ <mark>ਛੇ 200</mark> 8	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total		
Supplement existing ADDM Network sites to use population-based surveillance data to conduct at least five hypothesis-driven analyses evaluating factors that may contribute to changes in ASD prevalence by 2012.	N/A	7.1 \$6,715,815 15 projects	7.1 \$6,137,128 13 projects	7.1 \$4,928,453 13 projects	7.1 \$6,028,878 13 projects	\$23,810,274		
		IACC Recommended Budget: \$660,000 over 2 years						
Develop the personnel and technical infrastructure to assist States, territories, and other countries that request assistance describing and investigating potential changes in the prevalence of ASD and other developmental disabilities by 2013.	N/A	7.J \$494,449 11 projects	7.J \$170,490 4 projects	<mark>7.J</mark> \$545,414 6 projects	7.J \$159,610 4 projects	\$1,369,963		
Encourage programs and funding mechanisms that expand the		IACC Recommended Budget: \$1,650,000 over 3 years						
research workforce, enhance interdisciplinary research training, and recruit early-career scientists into the ASD field by 2013.	N/A	7.K \$2,527,472 <u>7 projects</u>	7.K \$7,358,427 <u>34 projects</u>	<mark>7.K</mark> \$4,813,286* 27 projects*	7.K \$10,003,091* 25 projects*	\$24,702,276*		
		IACC Recommended Budget: \$5,000,000 over 3 years						
Expand the number of ADDM sites in order to conduct ASD surveillance in children and adults; conduct complementary direct screening to inform completeness of ongoing surveillance; and expand efforts to include autism subtypes by 2015.	N/A	7.L \$699,304 2 projects	7.L \$1,429,602 8 projects	<mark>7.L</mark> \$705,552 6 projects	<mark>7.L</mark> \$847,002 6 projects	\$3,681,460		
Support 10 "Promising Practices" papers that describe innovative			ded Budget: \$16,20					
and successful services and supports being implemented in communities that benefit the full spectrum of people with ASD, which can be replicated in other communities, by 2015.	N/A	\$0 0 projects	7.M \$0 0 projects anded Budget: \$75,	7.M \$0 0 projects	7.M \$0 0 projects	\$0		
Enhance networks of clinical research sites offering clinical care in real-world settings that can collect and coordinate standardized and comprehensive diagnostic, biological (e.g., DNA, plasma, fibroblasts, urine), medical, and treatment history data that would provide a platform for conducting comparative effectiveness research and clinical trials of novel autism treatments by 2012.	N/A	N/A	7.N \$6,662,790 3 projects	7.N \$7,419,887 22 projects	7.N \$5,270,828 22 projects	\$19,353,505		
		IACC Recommen						
Create an information resource for ASD researchers (e.g., PhenX Project) to share information to facilitate data sharing and standardization of methods across projects by 2013. This includes common protocols, instruments, designs, and other procedural documents and should include updates on new technology and links to information on how to acquire and utilize technology in development. This can serve as a bidirectional information reference, with autism research driving the development of new resources and technologies, including new model systems, screening tools, and analytic techniques.	N/A	N/A	7.0 \$605,338 3 projects	7.0 \$1,070,941 3 projects	<mark>7.0</mark> \$728,000 1 project	\$2,404,279		
Provide resources to centers or facilities that develop promising	IACC Recommended Budget: \$2,000,000 over 2 years							
vertebrate and invertebrate model systems, and make these models more easily available or expand the utility of current model systems, and support new approaches to develop high-throughput screening technologies to evaluate the validity of model systems	N/A	N/A	7.P \$1,588,780 1 project	7.P \$0 0 projects	7.P \$0 0 projects	\$1,588,780		
by 2013.		IACC Recommended Budget: \$1,100,000 over 2 years						
Not specific to any objective	N/A	7.0ther \$1,000,000 2 projects	7.0ther \$13,253,709 26 projects	7.0ther \$12,314,084 18 projects	7.0ther \$16,863,272 23 projects	\$43,431,065		
Total funding for Question 7	N/A	\$15,809,755 46 projects	\$50,847,065 108 projects	\$43,855,291 111 projects*	\$47,516,197 112 projects*	\$158,028308*		

^{*}This figure was revised